

Cyclotron production of Ga-68 for human use from liquid targets: From theory to practice

F. Alves^a, V.H. Alves^a, A. Neves^a, S.J.C. do Carmo^a, B. Nactergal^b, V. Hellas^b, E. Kral^b, C. Gonçalves-Gameiro^b
A.J. Abrunhosa^a

^aICNAS - Institute for Nuclear Science Applied to Health - University of Coimbra; Pólo III; 3000-548 Coimbra, Portugal
^bIBA; Chemin du Cyclotron 3; 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve; Belgium

Introduction

Gallium-68 (⁶⁸Ga) is of growing interest for the production of Ga-radiolabeled compounds used as tracer molecules in positron emission tomography (PET) imaging technique.

To obtain ⁶⁸Ga, the most common technique is the use of a ⁶⁸Ge/⁶⁸Ga generator. Unfortunately, ⁶⁸Ge/⁶⁸Ga generators, with limited lifetime, produce limited amounts of ⁶⁸Ga per elution and present the risk of contaminating the final preparation with the long-lived parent nuclide ⁶⁸Ge.

Traditionally, ⁶⁸Ga is also produced in cyclotrons via the ⁶⁸Zn(p,n)⁶⁸Ga reaction in a metal (solid) target. The process, although producing high yields, requires expensive solid target irradiation, transport and processing systems, poses radioprotection issues, and is prone to contamination by metallic ions that can compromise the purification of the ⁶⁸Ga and subsequent labeling reaction. Although the method has been implemented in several centers, it never became a widespread solution, probably due to these drawbacks.

Alternative methods have been proposed to simplify and improve the process of ⁶⁸Ga production by a cyclotron, based on liquid targets [1] [2]. Recognizing the advantages of this concept, the authors developed an improved process for cyclotron irradiation of a ⁶⁸Zn target solution and subsequent purification in order to achieve a final solution of ⁶⁸Ga that can be used to label ⁶⁸Ga radiopharmaceuticals thus providing an economically viable alternative to ⁶⁸Ge/⁶⁸Ga generators.

Material and Methods

The different steps for the completion of a whole process to produce and separate ⁶⁸Ga from a liquid target have been studied and implemented in a fully integrated system.

As target material, Zinc-68 (⁶⁸Zn) nitrate solution diluted in low concentrated nitric acid solution has proven to be the best compromise, allowing the use of convenient amounts of ⁶⁸Zn, stability (including non-precipitation) of the solution over long time and better behavior (namely pressure build up) under beam.

A Nirta Conical[®] target system by IBA was used, benefiting from improved cooling capabilities, after confirming chemical inertness of constituent Niobium. A Niobium target window is also used. Target automatic filling system was implemented, based on peek valves and lines, thus avoiding metal contamination. Energy range to avoid ⁶⁷Ga production and under beam current-pressure conditions have been studied for different target material concentrations and conditioning.

Synthera[®] Extension IBA commercial system was used to implement the separation process based on strong cation exchanger column, and a dedicated disposable cassette was developed. The implemented method includes previous elution of ⁶⁸Zn, that can therefore be reused, and a final elution (with hydrochloric acid) of produced ⁶⁸Ga with overall yield of 85%. The purification and recovery process takes place in 30 mins.

Final ⁶⁸Ga was proven to be suitable for labelling radiopharmaceuticals for human use.

Results and Conclusion

A complete setup for ⁶⁸Ga production, separation and purification based on the irradiation of a ⁶⁸Zn highly enriched solution was implemented using an IBA target and a commercially available synthesis module.

A minimum of 180 mCi of ⁶⁸Ga was systematically produced on a 40 min irradiation at 45 uA proton beam from a conventional IBA Cyclone 18 cyclotron, using 100 mg of ⁶⁸Zn in a total volume of 3 ml of nitric acid solution. The implemented system and methodology has a consistent 85% yield for the recovery/purification process. ⁶⁸Ga obtained has been used to label (with >70% yield) peptides, namely ⁶⁸Ga-DOTANOC and ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11, suitable for human use, with practical and economical gains compared with the conventional methods.

References

1. M.K. Pandey, J.F. Byrne, H. Jiang, A.B. Packard, T.R. DeGrado: *Am J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* **4** (4), pp. 303–310, 2014.
2. M. Jensen, J. Clark; Proceedings of the 13th International Workshop on Targetry and Target Chemistry, pp.288-289, 2011

¹Corresponding author, E-mail: franciscoalves@uc.pt